

SARDAR PATEL UNIVERSITY

VALLABH VIDYANAGAR - 388 120, GUJARAT

NAAC-ACCREDITED 'A' GRADE WITH CGPA 3.25, (23-1-2017 to 22-1-2022)

Website: www.spuvvn.edu

Criterion : 1

Key Indicator : 1.4 Feedback System

Metric No. : 1.4.2



"Every citizen of India must remember that he is an Indian and he has every right in this country but with certain duties."

- Sardar Patel

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences

Year: 2016-17

Questionnaire No. 1

Total	otal number of students from which feedback was collected:				
		Numb	er of stud	ents who respo	onded to
Sr.	Question		C	ourse 01	
No.		Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Below threshold
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any	1	0	0	0
2	Extent of coverage of course	1	0	0	0
3	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	1	0	0	0
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	1	0	0	0
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material	1	0	0	0
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	1	0	0	0
7	Extent of effort required by students	1	0	0	0
8	Overall rating	1	0	0	0

Oxfamers.

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences

Year: 2016-17

	Questionnaire no. 4					
Total	number of students from which feedback was collected:	1				
Sr. No.	Question	N		students ed to choi	oice	
	,	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	
1	Before undertaking the programme did you get a prospectus? Did it contain the following details?	0	0	1	0	
2	What determined your choice of the subject? (Tick the relevant ones)	0	0	1	0	
3	What determined your choice of the subject? (Tick the relevant ones)	0	0	1	0	
4	How far was your background suited to select the course?	0	1	0	0	
	The choice of courses offered was	0	1	0	0	
6	In case no optional courses were available, would you have liked to suggest some?	0	0	1	0	
7	What do you think should be the ratio of optional courses to the total number of general courses?	0	0	0	1	
8	Was it possible to take a course from other departments?	0	. 1	0	0	
9	For how many courses did you have (give numbers)	0	1	0	0	
10	Did any of this help?	0	1	0	0	
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	1	0	0	0	
12	Was the practical/studio/workshop component of your courses sequenced integrally with theory classes?	1	0	0	0	
13	Were the laboratories/studios/workshops adequately equipped and properly maintained?	1	0	0	0	
14	Were you provided training in library use?	1	0	0	0	
15	What is your opinion of the library holdings for your subject?	1	0	0	0	
-10	Were you able to get the prescribed readings?	1	0	0	0	
17	Were you provided with training in computer use?	1	0	0	0	
18	How educative was your mid course placement?	1	0	0	0	
19	Did the departmental faculty visit you and was feedback provided?	1	0	0	0	
20	The internal evaluation system as it exists is	1	0	0	0	
21	In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?	0	0	1	0	
22	If no weightage was given to sessional work, do you think your grades would have been	0	0	0	1	
23	Is the internal assessment system conducive to	0	1	0	0	
24	The internal assessment system operated	1	0	0	0	
25	The internal assessment was done	1	0	0	0	
26	Were your written assignments returned on time?	1	0	0	0	
27	Were they returned with helpful comments?	1	0	0	0	
28	Did the department have provision to take care of grievances?	1	0	0	0	

				Т	
29	How many teachers taught you during the full duration of the programme? (Give the total number)	0	1	0	- 0
	If you had to grade your teachers on a ten point scale in the decending				
	order 10 lowest 0 to how many of the teachers would you assign the				
30	following grades? (Number of teachers against each grade) out of a	-		ol .	
	total of 10	0	0		1
31	How many teachers prepared their lectures (give numbers)	1	0	0	0
32	How many teachers were able to communicate (give numbers)	1	0	0	0
34	How can teachers encourage students to participate in the class?			0	
33	(Give numbers)	1	0	· ·	0
	You would rate your teachers to be (rank the qualities according			0	
34	to your preference, omitting the ones that do not apply) (give	1	0	0	0
35	At the end of the programme of study you came out	0	1	0	0
33	When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other			0	
36	institutions do you feel?	1	0	o o	0
	How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the institution as a			0	
37	whole?	0	1		0
				0	
38	How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?	0	1		0
39	How do you find the institution's administrative offices?	1	0	0	0
40	Are you satisfied with the hostel facilities?	1	0	0	0
41	How do you find the transport facility of the institution?	0	0	0	1
42	How do you rate the health care facilities?	1	0	0	
	Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the			0	
43	department/institution?	1	0		0
44	What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?	0	0	0	1
45	What was your relationship with the Students' Union?	0	0	0	1
13				0	
46	Was the Students' Union representative of the student community?	1	0		
47	Has your time at the institution been intellectually enriching?	1	0	0	C
48	Have you made friends with anyone, which you expect will last long?	0	0	0	1
49	After leaving the institution how will you talk about it?	1	0	0	

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences

Year: 2017-18

Questionnaire No. 1

Total	number of students from which feedback was coll	ected:		1			
		Numbe	Number of students who responded to				
Sr.	Question	Course 01					
No.		Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Below threshold		
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any	1	0	0	0		
2	Extent of coverage of course	1	0	0	0		
3	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	1	0	0	0		
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	1	0	0	0		
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material	1	0	0	0		
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	1	0	0	0		
7	Extent of effort required by students	1	0	0	0		
8	Overall rating	1	0	0	0		

Oxfamer.

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences

Year: 2017-18

<u> </u>	Questionnaire No. 4		4			
Total	number of students from which feedback was collected:	14				
Sr.		Number of student				
No.	Question		esponde			
1	Before undertaking the programme did you get a prospectus? Did it contain the following details?	(A) 0	(B)	(C)	(D)	
2	What determined your choice of the subject? (Tick the relevant ones	0	0	1	1	
3	What determined your choice of the subject? (Tick the relevant ones)	0	1	0	0	
4	How far was your background suited to select the course?	1	0	0		
	The choice of courses offered was	1	0	0	0	
6	In case no optional courses were available, would you have liked to suggest some?	0	1	0	0	
7	What do you think should be the ratio of optional courses to the total number of general courses?	0	1	0	0	
8	Was it possible to take a course from other departments?	0	1	0	0	
9	For how many courses did you have (give numbers)	0	1	0	0	
10	Did any of this help?	0	1	0	0	
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	1		0	0	
12	Was the practical/studio/workshop component of your courses sequenced integrally with theory classes?	0	1	0	0	
13	Were the laboratories/studios/workshops adequately equipped and properly maintained?	0	0	1	0	
14	Were you provided training in library use?	0	0	1	0	
15	What is your opinion of the library holdings for your subject?	0	1	0	0	
16	Were you able to get the prescribed readings?	0	1	0	0	
	Were you provided with training in computer use?	0	1	0	0	
	How educative was your mid course placement?	0	1	0	0	
19	Did the departmental faculty visit you and was feedback provided?	0	1	0	0	
20	The internal evaluation system as it exists is	0	1	0	0	
21	In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?	0	1	0	0	
/ /	If no weightage was given to sessional work, do you think your grades would have been	0	0	0	0	
	Is the internal assessment system conducive to	0	1	0	0	
	The internal assessment system operated	0	1	0	0	
25	The internal assessment was done	0	1	0	0	
26	Were your written assignments returned on time?	0	1	0	0	
	Were they returned with helpful comments?	0	1	0	0	
28	Did the department have provision to take care of grievances?	0	1	0	0	



29	How many teachers taught you during the full duration of the programme? (Give the total number)	0	0	0	1
30	If you had to grade your teachers on a ten point scale in the decending order 10 lowest 0 to how many of the teachers would you assign the following grades? (Number of teachers against each grade) out of a total of 10	0	0	0	0
	How many teachers prepared their lectures (give numbers)	0	0	1	0
31	How many teachers were able to communicate (give numbers)	0	0	1	0
32	How can teachers encourage students to participate in the class? (Give numbers)	0	0	1	0
34	You would rate your teachers to be (rank the qualities according to your preference, omitting the ones that do not apply) (give	0	0	0	1
35	At the end of the programme of study you came out	0	1	0	0
36	When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other institutions do you feel?	0	1	0	0
37	How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the institution as a whole?	0	1	0	0
38	How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?	0	1	0	0
39	How do you find the institution's administrative offices?	0	1		0
40	Are you satisfied with the hostel facilities?	0	1		0
41	How do you find the transport facility of the institution?	0	1		
42	How do you rate the health care facilities?	0	0	0	0
43	Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the department/institution?	0	0		U
44	What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?	0	1		
45	What was your relationship with the Students' Union?	0	1		
46	Was the Students' Union representative of the student community?	0	1		
47	Has your time at the institution been intellectually enriching?	0	1	. 0	0
48	Have you made friends with anyone, which you expect will last long?	0	1	C	0
49	After leaving the institution how will you talk about it?	0	1		

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences

Year: 2018-19

Questionnaire No. 1

Total number of students from which feedback was collected:				3				
		Number of students who responded to						
Sr.	Question	Course 01						
No.		Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Below threshold			
1	Depth of the course content including project work if any	3		0	0			
2	Extent of coverage of course	1	2	0	0			
3	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	2	1	0	0			
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	2	1	0	0			
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material	1	1	1	0			
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	2	1	0	0			
7	Extent of effort required by students	1	2	0	0			
8	Overall rating	3	0	0	0			

Oklesones.

Head Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences
Sardar Patel University,
Vallabh Vidyanagar - 388 120

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences

Year: 2018-19

Questionnaire No. 4

Tat-1		1-					
Total	number of students from which feedback was collected:	3					
Sr.	Overtier	Number of students who responded to choice					
No.	Question						
	Defens undertaking the progress did	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)		
1	Before undertaking the programme did you get a prospectus? Did it	3	0				
	contain the following details? What determined your choice of the subject? (Tick the relevant ones			0			
2	what determined your choice of the subject? (Tick the relevant ones	0	3	0	C		
_	What determined your choice of the subject? (Tick the relevant			0			
3	ones)	0	3	0	C		
4	How far was your background suited to select the course?	2	1		C		
<u> </u>		_		0			
5	The choice of courses offered was	1	2	0	0		
	In case no optional courses were available, would you have liked to			0			
6	suggest some?	1	1	0	1		
7	What do you think should be the ratio of optional courses to the	0	2				
	total number of general courses?	0	3	0	0		
8	Was it possible to take a course from other departments?	1	2	0	0		
9	For how many courses did you have (give numbers)	0	3	0	0		
10	Did any of this help?	3	0	0	0		
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	3	0	0	0		
12	Was the practical/studio/workshop component of your courses						
	sequenced integrally with theory classes?	2	1	0	0		
13	Were the laboratories/studios/workshops adequately equipped						
	and properly maintained?	2	1	0	0		
14	Were you provided training in library use?	1	2	0	0		
15	What is your opinion of the library holdings for your subject?	3		0	0		
16	Were you able to get the prescribed readings?	2	1	0	0		
17	Were you provided with training in computer use?	1	2	0	0		
	How educative was your mid course placement?	3	0	0	0		
19	Did the departmental faculty visit you and was feedback provided?	3	0	0	0		
20	The internal evaluation system as it exists is	0	0	3	0		
	In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should						
	the internal assessment account for?	o	o	0	3		
22	If no weightage was given to sessional work, do you think your						
	grades would have been	0	1	2	0		
	Is the internal assessment system conducive to	3	0	0	0		
_	The internal assessment system operated	3	0	0	0		
_	The internal assessment was done	3	0	0	0		
	Were your written assignments returned on time?	. 3	0	0	0		
27	Were they returned with helpful comments?	3	0	0	0		

20	Did the department have provision to take care of grievances?	0	0	0	0
28	How many teachers taught you during the full duration of the				
29	programme? (Give the total number)	0	0	0	3
	If you had to grade your teachers on a ten point scale in the decending				
	order 10 lowest 0 to how many of the teachers would you assign the				
30	following grades? (Number of teachers against each grade) out of a total			o	o
	of 10	1	2	1	0
31	How many teachers prepared their lectures (give numbers)	2	1	1	0
32	How many teachers were able to communicate (give numbers)	1	1		
-00	How can teachers encourage students to participate in the class?			2	1
33	(Cive numbers)	0	0		
2.4	You would rate your teachers to be (rank the qualities according to		0	o	
34	your preference, omitting the ones that do not apply) (give	0	2	0	1
35	At the end of the programme of study you came out	0		- 0	
26	When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other		2	1	o
36	institutions do vou feel?	0		-	
37	How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the institution as a	1	1	1	1
3/	whole?		1		$\overline{}$
38	How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?	3	0	0	0
39	How do you find the institution's administrative offices?	3	0	0	0
40	Are you satisfied with the hostel facilities?	0	1	2	0
41	How do you find the transport facility of the institution?	0	0	0	3
42	How do you rate the health care facilities?	2	0	0	1
42	Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the				
43	department/institution?	0	1	0	2
44	What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?	2	1	0	0
45	What was your relationship with the Students' Union?	1	0	0	2
43					860
46	Was the Students' Union representative of the student community?	3	0	0	0
47	Has your time at the institution been intellectually enriching?	2	0	1	0
48	Have you made friends with anyone, which you expect will last long?	2	1	0	0
49	After leaving the institution how will you talk about it?	3	0	0	0

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences

Year: 2019-20

Questionnaire No. 1

Total number of students from which feedback was		vas collected: 3						
		Number of students who responded to						
Sr.	Quantian	Course 01						
No.	Question	Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Below threshold			
1	Depth of the course content including project							
1	work if any	2	1	0	0			
2	Extent of coverage of course	. 2	1	0	0			
3	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	1	1	1	0			
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	1	2	0	0			
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material	1	2	0	0			
6	Relevance of additional source material	1	2	0	0			
	(Library)	2	0	1	0			
7	Extent of effort required by students	3	0	0	0			
8	Overall rating	2	1	0	0			

Oklessoner.

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences

Year: 2019-20

Total	number of students from which feedback was collected:	3			
Sr. No.	Question		Number of stude responded to o		
110.		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
1	Before undertaking the programme did you get a prospectus? Did it contain the following details?	1	2	0	0
2	What determined your choice of the subject? (Tick the relevant ones)	3	0	0	0
3	What determined your choice of the subject? (Tick the relevant ones)	3	0	0	0
4	How far was your background suited to select the course?	3	0	0	0
_5	The choice of courses offered was	0	3	0	0
6	In case no optional courses were available, would you have liked to suggest some?	2	1	0	0
7	What do you think should be the ratio of optional courses to the total number of general courses?	1	2	0	0
8	Was it possible to take a course from other departments?	0	0	2	1
9	For how many courses did you have (give numbers)	1	2	0	0
10	Did any of this help?	2	1	0	0
12	Was the practical/studio/workshop component of your courses sequenced integrally with theory classes?	2	1	0	0
13	Were the laboratories/studios/workshops adequately equipped and properly maintained?	3	0	0	0
14	Were you provided training in library use?	2	1	0	0
15	What is your opinion of the library holdings for your subject?	3	0	0	0
16	Were you able to get the prescribed readings?	3	0	0	0
17	Were you provided with training in computer use?	. 2	1	0	0
18	How educative was your mid course placement?	3	0	0	0
	Did the departmental faculty visit you and was feedback provided?	3	0	0	0
20	The internal evaluation system as it exists is	0	1	2	0
21	In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should the internal assessment account for?	1	0	0	2
22	If no weightage was given to sessional work, do you think your grades would have been	1	0	2	0
23	Is the internal assessment system conducive to	3	0	0	0
24	The internal assessment system operated	3	0	0	0
25	The internal assessment was done	3	0	0	0
26	Were your written assignments returned on time?	3	0	0	0
27	Were they returned with helpful comments?	3	0	0	0
28	Did the department have provision to take care of grievances?	0	0	0	0
29	How many teachers taught you during the full duration of the programme? (Give the total number)	0	0	0	3

30	If you had to grade your teachers on a ten point scale in the decending order 10 lowest 0 to how many of the teachers would you assign the following	2	1	0	0
31	How many teachers prepared their lectures (give numbers)	3	0	0	0
	How many teachers were able to communicate (give numbers)	-3	0	0	0
33 1	How can teachers encourage students to participate in the class? (Give numbers)	3	0	0	0
34	You would rate your teachers to be (rank the qualities according to your preference, omitting the ones that do not apply) (give numbers)	0	0	- 0	0
35	At the end of the programme of study you came out	0	1	0	2
36	When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other institutions do you feel?	0	3	0	0
37	How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the institution as a whole?	2	1	0	0
38	How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?	2	1	0	0
39	How do you find the institution's administrative offices?	1	0	2	0
40	Are you satisfied with the hostel facilities?	1	0		
41	How do you find the transport facility of the institution?	1	0	2	0
42	How do you rate the health care facilities?	1	0	0	2
43	Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the department/institution?	0	0		3
44	What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?	3	0		0
45	What was your relationship with the Students' Union?	1	0		2
46	Was the Students' Union representative of the student community?	1	1		0
47	Has your time at the institution been intellectually enriching?	3	0		0
48	Have you made friends with anyone, which you expect will last long?	2	1		
49	After leaving the institution how will you talk about it?	3	C	0	0

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences

Year: 2020-21

Questionnaire No. 1

Total	Total number of students from which feedback was collected: 3						
		Number of students who responded to					
Sr. No.	Question	Course 01					
		Very Good	Good	Satisfactory	Below threshold		
1	Depth of the course content including project						
1	work if any	2	1	0	0		
2	Extent of coverage of course	2	1	0	0		
3	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	1	2	0	0		
4	Learning value (in terms of knowledge, concepts, manual skills, analytical abilities and broadening perspectives)	2	1	0	0		
5	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material	3	0	0	0		
6	Relevance of additional source material (Library)	1	2	0	0		
7	Extent of effort required by students	3	0	0	0		
8	Overall rating	2	1	0	0		

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences

Year: 2020-21

	number of students from which feedback was collected:	13	Total number of students from which feedback was collected: 3						
Sr.	N. J. C. J.								
No.	Question	responded to choice							
110.			(B)	(C)	(D)				
1	Before undertaking the programme did you get a prospectus? Did it	2		1	_				
	contain the following details?	3	0		0				
2	What determined your choice of the subject? (Tick the relevant	2	1	0	0				
	ones)		1	U	0				
3	What determined your choice of the subject? (Tick the relevant	2	1	0	0				
	ones)		1						
4	How far was your background suited to select the course?	2	1	0	0				
<u></u>	The choice of courses offered was	3	0	0	0				
6	In case no optional courses were available, would you have liked to	3	0	0	0				
	suggest some?			L U					
7	What do you think should be the ratio of optional courses to the	3	0	0	0				
8	total number of general courses? Was it possible to take a course from other departments?			0					
9		2	1	0	0				
10	For how many courses did you have (give numbers) Did any of this help?	3	0	0	0				
11	How helpful was the teacher in advising?	3	0	0	0				
	Was the practical/studio/workshop component of your courses	3	0	0	0				
12	sequenced integrally with theory classes?	2	1	0	0				
	Were the laboratories/studios/workshops adequately equipped								
13	and properly maintained?	3	0	0	0				
14	Were you provided training in library use?	3	0	0	0				
		3	-		U				
15	What is your opinion of the library holdings for your subject?	3	0	0	0				
16	Were you able to get the prescribed readings?	3	0	0	0				
	Were you provided with training in computer use?	3	0	0	0				
18	How educative was your mid course placement?	3	0	0	0				
19	Did the departmental faculty visit you and was feedback provided?	2	1	0	0				
20	The internal evaluation system as it exists is	3	0	0	0				
21	In your opinion, how much of the total weightage of a course should	2	0	0	0				
21	the internal assessment account for?	3	0	0	0				
	If no weightage was given to sessional work, do you think your	2	0	1	0				
	grades would have been		U	1					
	Is the internal assessment system conducive to	3	0	0	0				
	The internal assessment system operated	2	1	0	0				
_	The internal assessment was done	3	0	0	0				
	Were your written assignments returned on time?	3	0	0	0				
27	Were they returned with helpful comments?	3	0	0	0				
28	Did the department have provision to take care of grievances?	3	0	0	0				

29	How many teachers taught you during the full duration of the programme? (Give the total number)	3	0	0	0
	If you had to grade your teachers on a ten point scale in the decending order 10 lowest 0 to how many of the teachers would you assign the following grades? (Number of teachers against each grade) out of a total of 10	0	0	1	2
31	How many teachers prepared their lectures (give numbers)	3	0	0	0
32	How many teachers were able to communicate (give numbers)	0	0	0	0
33	How can teachers encourage students to participate in the class? (Give numbers)	2	1	0	0
34	You would rate your teachers to be (rank the qualities according to your preference, omitting the ones that do not apply) (give	1	2	0	0
35	At the end of the programme of study you came out	0	3	0	0
36	When you meet students who have taken a similar programme at other institutions do you feel?	2	0	1	0
37	How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in the institution as a whole?	3	0	0	V
38	How do you rate the student-teacher relationship in your department?	3	0	0	0
39	How do you find the institution's administrative offices?	3	0	0	0
40	Are you satisfied with the hostel facilities?	3		0	0
41	How do you find the transport facility of the institution?	3		0	0
42	How do you rate the health care facilities?	3	0	0	0
43	Did you participate in any of the extra curricular activities of the department/institution?	1	1	0	
44	What was the attitude of teachers to extra curricular activities?	1			0
45	What was your relationship with the Students' Union?	1	0	1	1
46	Was the Students' Union representative of the student community?	2	1	0	0
47	Has your time at the institution been intellectually enriching?	2	1	0	.0
48	Have you made friends with anyone, which you expect will last long?	(0	2	1
49	After leaving the institution how will you talk about it?	3	0	0	0



Date: 30/08/2021

Minutes of Meeting

A meeting of the teaching staff members has been called in the Head's office at 4 P.M. to discuss actions necessary to implement after evaluation of student feedback forms. The analysis of forms suggested that the feedbacks were satisfactory for the current state of art of departmental working.

The following points were discussed to implement from next academic year 2021-2022:

The Covid pandemic guidelines are introduced in the orientation programme of the department.

The following members were remaining present during the meeting:

- 1. Dr. V. K. Parmar
- 2. Dr. S. J. Parmar
- 3. Dr. (Mrs.) B. A. Patel

OKPERSONIE.



Date: 10/08/2020

Minutes of Meeting

A meeting of the teaching staff members has been called in the Head's office at 4:30 P.M. to discuss actions necessary to implement after evaluation of student feedback forms.

The following points were discussed to implement from next academic year 2020-2021

• It seems to inculcate additional skills in students for real life applicability of the course. Thus format and methodologies for subject:MQA302J: Journal club of Semester 3 was extended from next academic year 2020-21.

The following members were remaining present during the meeting:

- 1. Dr. V. K. Parmar
- 2. Dr. S. J. Parmar
- 3. Dr. (Mrs.) B. A. Patel

Dr. V. K. Parmar

Okpassners



Date: 20/08/2018

Minutes of Meeting

A meeting of the teaching staff members has been called in the Head's office at 11:30 A.M. to discuss actions necessary to implement after evaluation of student feedback form.

The following points were discussed to implement from next academic year.

• It seems that the student was satisfied with the course and over all University campus facilities. The addition of books related to new added subjects as per PCI was taken as priority for effective learning by students.

The following members were remaining present during the meeting:

- 1. Dr. D. K. Raval
- 2. Dr. V. K. Parmar
- 3. Dr. S. J. Parmar
- 4. Dr. (Mrs.) B. A. Patel

Dr. D. K. Raval



Date: 10/08/2017

Minutes of Meeting

A meeting of the teaching staff members has been called in the Head's office at 3:30 P.M. to discuss actions necessary to implement after evaluation of student feedback form.

The following points were discussed to implement from next academic year 2017-2018.

• It seems that the student was satisfied with the course curriculum and over all University campus facilities. It was discussed to do necessary syllabus revision for the next academic year.

The following members were remaining present during the meeting:

- 1. Dr. D. K. Raval
- 2. Dr. V. K. Parmar
- 3. Dr. S. J. Parmar
- 4. Dr. (Mrs.) B. A. Patel

Dr. D. K. Raval