

ADVANCED OXIDATION PROCESSES FOR COD REDUCTION

MAYANK VITHALANI, MAYUR KASODARIYA, MEHUL AMRUTIYA AND SURESH C. PANCHANI*

Department of Chemical Engineering, G H Patel College of Engineering & Technology,

VallabhVidynagar, Gujarat (INDIA) E-mail : sureshpanchani@gcet.ac.in

ABSTRACT

Most of the synthetic dyes are non-biodegradable and are toxic to the microorganisms. The effluent containing dyes is difficult to treat by conventional biological processes. These dyes can be easily treated if the conventional treatment methods are incorporated with the advanced oxidation processes (AOPs). AOPs can break the complex structure of dyes making them more amicable to bio-degradation. This paper deals with different AOPs like hydrogen peroxide, sonolysis and their combination like sono-chemical process for remediation of dye wastewater effluent and comparison of treatment efficiencies. It is observed that the efficiencies of various options were found to be dependent on characteristics of the wastewater to be treated. The paper also discusses the important parameters to scale-up AOPs on large scale for better efficiency in actual practice.

Keywords-Effluent; Dyes; Advanced Oxidation Processes (AOPs); Revamp

INTRODUCTION

The dye wastewater is characterized by high content of dyestuff, salts, high chemical oxygen demand (COD) derived from additives, suspended solid (SS) and fluctuating pH. Conventional processes to treat wastewater from dyes and textile industries includes chemical precipitation with alum or ferrous sulphate which suffers from drawbacks such as generation of a large volume of sludge, the contamination of chemical substances in the treated wastewater, etc. Moreover these processes are inefficient towards completely oxidizing dyestuffs and organic compounds of complex structure. To overcome these problems advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) have been developed to generate hydroxyl free radicals by different techniques. AOPs include hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂), Ozone (O_3) and UV irradiation, which have proved to be much efficient in effluent treatment processes [1] [2].

The most efficient and feasible process which reduces the COD by maximum percent is selected for revamping of Effluent Treatment Plant of a particular chemical industry. The design related to modification of installed vessel would be done for large scale application of one of the AOPs in accordance with plant capacity.

The aim of AOP is the generation of free hydroxyl radical ($OH\bullet$), a highly reactive, non-selective oxidizing agent, which can destroy even the recalcitrant pollutants. The generation of hydroxyl radical is highly accelerated by combining ozone (O_3) , hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) , titanium dioxide (TiO₂), heterogeneous photocatalysis, UV radiation or high electron beam radiation. Various types of AOPs include Ozone/H₂O₂, Ozone/UV/H₂O₂, $Ozone/TiO_2/H_2O_2$, $Ozone/TiO_2/Electron$ beam irradiation, H_2O_2/UV , H_2O_2/Fe^{+2} , $H_2O_2/UV/Fe^{+2}$, Ozone/UV [3,9]. In this paper Fenton's method, ultrasonication & sonochemical processes are discussed.

METHODOLOGY & MATERIALS

1. FENTON'S METHOD

The Fenton's process generates great amount of HO^{\bullet} radicals with powerful oxidizing potential which has a very short life, but is reactive and attack dyes by either abstracting a hydrogen atom or adding itself to double bonds The Fenton process can be defined as the oxidation of organic compounds in an aqueous solution. Initially an increase in colour removal was observed with the increase in amount of ferrous sulphate for all concentrations of green cationic dyes. The fact that the rate of decolourization increases with increase in the an concentration of ferrous ions was shown in the study performed by [4] on green cationic dyes in textile wastewater. The pH of the solution, amount of ferrous ions, and concentration of H_2O_2 . initial concentration of the pollutant and presence of other ions considerably affects the Fenton's process [5]. Fenton's reagent can be electro-produced with abundant and feedstock: cheap oxygen saturated wastewater and solar energy [6].

Procedure for Fenton's method:

First of all the pH of Effluent was measured and then the pH was adjusted to 3 by adding concentrated H₂SO₄. 600 mL of effluent sample was taken in a 1 Litre beaker. Fenton's reagent was prepared by adding 3gm of FeSO₄.7H₂O in 30 ml of 30% Hydrogen Peroxide. Prepared Fenton's reagent was added to the beaker containing effluent sample. The beaker was then set on a magnetic stirrer at room temperature. After regular intervals of 30 min, 50ml sample from the beaker was collected for 30, 60, 90 minutes mixing time. In each sample 2-3 drops of H₂SO₄ was added to prevent further reaction. The

samples were kept in incubators and COD was measured [7, 10].

2. SONOCHEMICAL METHOD

When ultrasound was applied to effluent, water undergoes thermal dissociation to hydrogen and OH radicals. OH radical is highly reactive and can oxidise almost all contaminants in water. This primary oxidation is the reason for the degradation of contaminants in water [8,14]. reactions normally Sonochemical are characterised by the simultaneous occurrence of pyrolysis and radical especially high solute reactions. at concentrations. Phenols, chloro-phenols, nitrophenols, parathion, etc. are among a few regularly observed contaminants in industrial effluent. They are known to get degraded by the cavitation phenomenon. Degradation pathway is likely to change with the change in the intensity of ultrasound, concentration of the contaminant in water. etc. An unanticipated advantage is that sonication also kills some microorganisms and hence disinfects water [8, 11].

Procedure for sonochemical treatment : The same procedure was repeated for effluent treatment as described under Fenton's Method but it was carried out under the ultrasonic cleaner at 20Hz fixed frequency for the cycle of 144/36 (on/off) seconds [7, 10].

Fig.1 :	Various	Ultrasonic	Experimenta	l Data [12,	, 13] for	[•] comparison
----------------	---------	------------	-------------	-------------	-----------	-------------------------

Contaminants degraded	Concentration		Ultra sound concentration		
		Frequency	Iron typ[e and dosage	Power	Reactor
		(KHZ)		(w)	(ml)
Dye reactive Brillient Red	10-100mgL ⁻¹	20	Fe ⁺² :1-5 μm	150	150
2,4 dinitro phenol	20 mgL ⁻¹	20	Fe ⁺² 60 mgL ⁻¹	200-800	75
1,4 dioxane	100 mgL ⁻¹	20	$\mathrm{Fe}^{+2}\mathrm{or}\mathrm{Fe}^{0}$ 0.5 mgL ⁻¹	300	-
Dinitrotoluenes and 2,4,6 tri nitrotoluene	DOC 150 mgL ⁻¹	20	-	52-227W cm ⁻²	300
Pentacholrophenol	15 mgL ⁻¹	25	FeO@Fe ₂ O ₃ core –shell neneowires:100mg	100	150
P-Nitro phenol	0.5 and 1.0 %	25	FeSO ₄ :H ₂ O ₂ =1:5,1:7.5 and 1:10	1000	500
2,4-D and DNOC	2,4-D(1mM) DNOC(0.5mM)	28 and 460	Fe ⁺³ : 0.1mM	20-80	250
Azo dye acid orange -7	1000 mgL ⁻¹	40	Fe ⁰ /GAC:12g/2.3g	100	250
Pentachlorophenol	37.5µm	40	Fe ⁰ :2gL ⁻¹	600	75
Azo dye Acid Black-I	0.041-0.162mm	40	Fe ⁺² :0.01-0.05Mm	20-50	100
Chlorobenzene	100 mgL ⁻¹	200	Fe ⁺² :0.45mM Fe ⁺² :0.90mM	200	150
Methylene Blue	3.0µm	200-1063	Fe ⁺³ :1x10 ⁻³ -1x10 ⁻² M	35	300
Anthraquinonic dye,C.IAcid Blue25	10-50 mgL ⁻¹	22.5 and 1,700	Fe ⁺² :10 ⁻³ M	14	100

OBSERVATIONS & RESULTS

Fenton's Method

Table 1 and 2 respectively depict the COD and % COD reduction at different time intervals for Fenton's method employing 1:10 (FeSO₄: H_2O_2) and 1:5 (FeSO₄: H_2O_2) reagent at 3 pH. **Table.1:** COD by Fenton's method (1:10) At pH = 3, Dosage = 1:10 (FeSO₄: H_2O_2)

Time	COD	% COD
(min)		reduction
0	2300	-
30	1940	15.61
60	1761	23.43
90	1517	34.03
120	1344	41.56
150	1136	50.6
180	960	58.26

Table.2: (COD by	Fenton's	s method	(1:5)
------------	--------	----------	----------	-------

At pH =3•

• Dosage = 1:5 (FeSO ₄ : H_2O_2)				
Time		% COD		
(min)	COD	reduction		
0	2300	-		
30	2041	11.25		
60	1845	19.91		
90	1628	29.2		
120	1458	36.6		
150	1235	46.8		
180	1053	54.21		

Sono-Chemical Method

Table 3 and 4 respectively represent the result in terms of COD and % COD reduction at different time intervals for Fenton's method employing 1:10 (FeSO₄: H₂O₂) and 1:5 (FeSO₄: H₂O₂) reagent at 3 pH under sonication at fixed 20Hz.

In each case COD is found to decrease and % COD reduction increases with time interval starting from 30 min to 180 min. 1:10:: FeSO₄: H₂O₂ is observed to be more efficient than 1:5::FeSO₄: H₂O₂ with and without sonication. A maximum of 74% reduction in COD was achieved by sonochemical method.

Table.3: COD by sonochemical method

• At pH =3

Dosage = 1:10 (FeSO₄: H₂O₂) •

Time	COD	For ratio			
(min)		1:10			
0	2300	-			
30	1794	21.97			
60	1608	30.08			
90	1365	40.62			
120	1125	51.08			
150	798	65.23			
180	596	74.08			

Table.4: COD by sonochemical method

• At pH =3

• Dosage = 1:5 (FeSO₄:
$$H_2O_2$$
)

Time		For ratio
(min)	COD	1:5
0	2300	-
30	1806	18.33
60	1689	26.56
90	1450	36.3
120	1263	45.08
150	935	59.34
180	714	68.95

CONCLUSION

Comparision of Fenton's and sonochemical process for different ratio of FeSO₄:H₂O₂ employed for the treatment of dye effluent is presented below in the bar diagram (Fig 2) and Table 5.

Fig 2: Overall % COD reduction



 Table 5: Comparative Result

Methods	Fe ²⁺ :H ₂ O ₂	COD	% COD
	Ratio		Reduction
Initial	-	2300	-
sample			
Fenton	1:10	960	58.26
Fenton	1:5	1053	54.21
Sono-	1:10	596	74.08
Chemical			
Sono-	1:5	714	68.95
Chemical			

From the result, it is concluded that it is better to opt for sono-chemical process in the ETP plant with 20Hz frequency and 1:10 Fenton ratio. Approach for designing ETP should address following issues.

- 1. Quantity of wastewater generated
- 2. Characterization of wastewater
- 3. Inlet feed water quality
- 4. Wastewater treatability and treatment option
- 5. Mode of disposal of treated effluent
- 6. Disposal of sludge
- 7. Recycle/reuse of treated water
- 8. Modular process, scalable and flexible

Modifications Suggested

- 1. Feed : H_2O_2 ratio = 20 : 1 (30% H_2O_2)
- 2. $FeSO_4:H_2O_2$ ratio = 1:10
- 3. Frequency for Sonicator 20 kHz
- 4. Vessel agitator should be connected along with Sonicator stirrer
- 5. Maintain pH between 2–3.
- Reactor of 20000 kg requires 10 L (for usage of 50% concentration H₂SO₄) and 4 L (for usage of 98% concentration H₂SO₄).
- 7. Sound proof walls for reactor are recommended if high frequency sonicator is economically not feasible.

REFERENCES

- S. Lidia, J. Claudia and N.K. Santosh, "A comparative study on oxidation of disperse dyes by electrochemical process, ozone, hypochlorite and fenton reagent," Water Research, vol. 35, 2001, pp. 2129–2136.
- 2. L. Stanislaw, S. Monika and Z. Renata, "Biodegradation, decolourisation and detoxification of textile wastewater enhanced by advanced oxidation processes," Journal of Biotechnology, vol. 89, 2001 pp. 175-184.

- 3. Zhou H. and Smith D.W., Advanced technologies in water and wastewater treatment, Journal Environmental Engineering Science, 1, 2002, 247-264.
- 4. C.S. Rodrigues, L.M. Madeira and R.A. Boaventura, "Treatment of textile effluent by chemical (Fenton's Reagent) and biological (sequencing batch reactor) oxidation," Journal of Hazardous Materials, vol. 172, 2009, pp. 1551–1559.
- A.S. Stasinakis, "Use of selected advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) for wastewater treatment – A Mini Review," Global NEST Journal, Vol 10, No 3, 2008, pp 376-385.
- 6. S. Figueroa, L. Vázquez' and A. A.-Gallegos, "Decolorizing textile wastewater with Fenton's reagent electrogenerated with a solar photovoltaic cell" Science Direct, 2008.
- Andrew D. Eaton, Lenore S. Clesceri, Arnold E.Greenberg, M. A. H. FransonStandard methods for the examination of water and wastewater – American Public Health Association,1995.
- Amol A Kulkarni, Mugdha Deshpande and A B Pandit Techniques of Wastewater Treatment , Future Technologies Resonance December 2000 Pg.no: 64-74
- 9. Advanced Oxidation Processes for of Treatment Textile and Dve Wastewater: A Review Shashank Singh Kalra, Satyam Mohan, Alok Sinha and Gurdeep Singh International Conference on Environmental Science Development IPCBEE and vol.4 (2011).
- GeorgeTchobanoglous, Franklin L. Burton, Metcalf & Eddy, H. David StenselWastewater Engineering: Treatment and Reuse – McGraw-Hill,2003.
- 11. Akram M, Chowdury A and Chakrabarti S, "Removal of Rhodamine B Dye from wastewater by ultrasound – assisted Fenton Process: A Process

Comparison between Bath and Probe type Sonicators", Environmental Science: An Indian Journal (2016).

- 12. Zahra Rahmani, Majid Kermani, Mira Gholami, Ahmad JonidiJafiri, and Niya Mohammad Mahmoodi "Effectiveness of photochemical and sonochemical processes in degradation of basic violet 16 dye from aqueous solution", Iranian Jounal of Environmental Health Science & Engineering,2012;9(1):14.
- Juan Carlos Colmenares, Gregory ChatelSonochemistry: From Basic Principles to Innovative Applications, Springer Top CurrChem(Z) (2016) pp.121-125.
- 14. Raji R. Nair, Reshma Patel "Treatment of Dye Wastewater by Sonolysis Process", International Journal of Research in Modern Engineering and Emerging Technology, Vol. 2, Issue: 1, April-May: 2014
- 15. Ma YS. Short Review: Current Trends and Future Challenges in the application of Sono-Fenton oxidation for wastewater treatment. Sustain Environ Res.2012;22(5):271-8.