**ISSN 0975 - 2595** 



# Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences



SARDAR PATEL UNIVERSITY VALLABH VIDYANAGAR Gujarat – 388 120, INDIA www.spuvyn.edu



### S-WAVE MASSES AND DECAY PROPERTIES OF D AND D<sub>S</sub> MESONS

### Nayneshkumar Devlani\* and Ajay Kumar Rai

Applied Physics Department, S.V. National Institute of Technology, Surat, Gujarat - 395007

### ABSTRACT

Mass spectra and decay properties of D and D<sub>s</sub> mesons are investigated in the frame work of a relativistic phenomenological quark model with a confinement potential of the type  $V(r) = -\frac{\alpha_c}{c} + A_r$ ; with v varying from 0.5 to 2.5. Apart the mass spectra, the decay constants  $(f_{P/V})$  and the leptonic branching fraction's are calculated in this paper with different choices of v in the range 0.5 to 2.5. Our predictions are compared with other theoretical models and experimental results.

Key words: mass spectrum, decay constant, branching ratio, potential model.

### **INTRODUCTION**

Many experimental facilities such as FOCUS (E831) at Fermilab, BELLE and CLEO, are continuously providing huge amount of data in the heavy-light mesonic sector [1 - 3].

The recent observations of the orbital excited states of  $c\overline{q}$ ; (q = u/d) and  $c\overline{s}$  mesons in particular have ignited interest in the experimental and theoretical study of charm spectroscopy.

It has long been pointed out that light-heavy mesons mimic the hydrogenic atoms of QCD and represent a unique laboratory to test our understanding of QCD [4, 5]. Theoretically, our knowledge of hadron physics is mainly based on phenomenological quark confinement models [6 - 8], as the hadron domain generally falls in the non-perturbative regime of quantum chromodynamics.

The masses and pseudoscalar decay constants of the heavy-light mesons have also been estimated in the context of many QCD-motivated models. These model predictions cover a wide range of values from one another [9 - 13].

Phenomenologically, it is important to have reliable estimates of the decay constants as they are useful in many weak processes such as quark mixing, CP violation etc.

In this paper, we present the calculations of the mass spectrum of L = 0 states of  $c \overline{q}$  (q = u / d) and  $c \overline{s}$  mesons in the relativistic scheme with confinement potential of the form Coulomb plus power potential, with the power index v varying from 0.5 to 2.5. The decay constants ( $f_{P/V}$ ) of these mesons and leptonic branching fractions are also computed.

### THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK

For the study of the light-heavy bound state systems (D and  $D_S$  meson), we treat relativistic motion for both the quark and the antiquark. The Hamiltonian for the case then be written as [14]

$$H = \sqrt{p^{2} + m_{\varrho}^{2}} + \sqrt{p^{2} + m_{\bar{q}}^{2}} + V(r)$$
(1)

where p is the relative momentum of the quark-antiquark

motion and  $m_{Q}$  is the heavy quark mass and  $m_{\overline{q}}$  is the light

\*corresponding author: nayneshdev@gmail.com

quark mass, V(r) is the quark-antiquark potential given as [15]

$$V(r) = -\frac{\alpha_{c}}{c} + Ar^{v}$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

where A is the potential parameter,  $\alpha_c = \frac{4}{3} \alpha \div \alpha_s$  being the strong running coupling constant.

In the limit that the heavy quark mass becomes infinite, the heavy-light meson behaves analogously to the hydrogen atom i.e., the heavier quark does not contribute to the orbital degrees of freedom and the properties of the meson are determined by those of the light quark [1]. Thus, we assume a trial wave function to be the form given by the hydrogenic radial wave function,

$$R_{nl}(r) = \left(\frac{\mu^{3}(n-l-1)!}{n(n+l)!}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} (\mu r)^{l} e^{-\frac{\mu r}{2}} L_{n-l-1}^{2l+1}(\mu r)$$
(3)

Here,  $\mu$  is the variational parameter and  $L_{n-l-1}^{2l+1}$  is Laguerre polynomial. For a chosen value of v, the variational parameter,  $\mu$  is determined for each state using the virial theorem.

$$\left\langle \frac{p^2}{2m} \right\rangle = \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \frac{dV}{rdr} \right\rangle \tag{4}$$

We find the expectation value of H (Eq. (1)) using the equation  $H\psi = E\psi$  (5)

As the interaction potential assumed here does not contain the spin dependent part, Eq (5) gives the spin average masses of the system in terms of the power index v. The experimental spin-averaged mass for the ground state is determined as [16]

)

$$M_{SA} = M_{P} + \frac{3}{4} (M_{V} - M_{P})$$

where  $M_V$  and  $M_P$  are the experimentally measured vector and pseudoscalar meson ground state masses. We fix the parameter A, for the chosen value of v using the experimental spin averaged mass for the ground state. Using this value of A, we calculate excited S wave masses of D and D<sub>S</sub> mesons and our results are listed in Tables (1) and (2).

The quark mass parameters used for the calculations are  $m_q = 0.32$  GeV,  $m_{\bar{s}} = 0.42$  GeV,  $m_c = 1.31$  GeV, and we have used the strong running coupling constant  $\alpha_{\rm S} = 0.397$  for D and  $\alpha_{\rm S} = 0.34$  for D<sub>S</sub>.

The decay constants of mesons are important parameters in the study of leptonic, non-leptonic weak decay processes. The decay constants of pseudoscalar  $(f_P)$  and vector  $(f_V)$ mesons are obtained by prarameterizing the matrix elements of weak current between the corresponding mesons and the vacuum as

$$\left\langle 0 \left| Q \gamma \quad Q \left( k \right) \right| P_{\mu} \left( k \right) \right\rangle = i f_{p} k^{\mu}$$

$$\tag{7}$$

$$\left\langle 0 \left| \overline{Q} \right| \gamma^{\mu} Q \left( k \right) \right| V \left( k, \varepsilon \right) \right\rangle = f_{\nu} M_{\nu} \varepsilon^{\mu}$$
(8)

where k is meson momentum,  $\mathcal{E}^{\mu}$  and  $M_{V}$  are the polarization vector and mass of the vector meson. In the relativistic model, the decay constant can be expressed through the meson wave function  $\Phi_{P,V}(p)$  in the momentum space as [17].

$$f_{P/V} = \left(\frac{12}{M_{P/V}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \int \frac{d^{3}p}{(2\pi)^{3}} \left(\frac{E_{\varrho}(p) + m_{\varrho}}{2E_{\varrho}(p)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \left(\frac{E_{\overline{q}}(p) + m_{\overline{q}}}{2E_{\overline{q}}(p)}\right)^{\times} \\ \left\{1 + \lambda \frac{p}{\left[E_{\varrho}(p) + m_{\varrho}\right]^{2}} \left[E_{\overline{q}}(p) + m_{\overline{q}}\right]\right\} \Phi_{P/V}(p)$$
(9)

With  $\lambda_p = -1$  and  $\lambda_V = -1/3$ . In the non-relativistic limit  $\frac{p^2}{m^2} \rightarrow 0$  it reduces to the well-known relation between  $f_{P/V}$  and the ground state wave function at the origin  $\psi_{P/V}(0)$ , the Van – Royen - Weisskopf formula [18],

$$f_{P/V}^{2} = \frac{12 \left| \psi_{P/V} \left( 0 \right) \right|^{2}}{M_{P/V}}$$
(10)

The computed values of  $f_P$  and  $f_V$  for D and  $D_s$  meson using eq (10) are tabulated in Tables (3) and (4) respectively.

Table - 1 S wave Masses of D Meson (in GeV)

 Table - 2 S wave Masses of D<sub>S</sub> Meson (in GeV)

 D<sub>S</sub>

	D <sub>S</sub>											
v	States											
	$1^{1}S_{0}$	$1^{3}S_{1}$	$2^{1}S_{0}$	$2^{3}S_{1}$	$3^{1}S_{0}$	$3^{3}S_{1}$	$4^1S_0$	$4^{3}S_{1}$				
0.5	2.025	2.094	2.283	2.301	2.424	2.432	2.529	2.534				
0.9	1.991	2.108	2.385	2.428	2.646	2.672	2.862	2.880				
1.0	1.983	2.111	2.406	2.456	2.696	2.727	2.942	2.964				
1.3	1.962	2.121	2.458	2.530	2.836	2.885	3.178	3.215				
1.5	1.938	2.133	2.487	2.574	2.925	2.986	3.339	3.387				
1.7	1.938	2.133	2.513	2.615	3.012	3.086	3.508	3.566				
1.9	1.928	2.139	2.536	2.651	3.098	3.183	3.683	3.751				
2.0	1.923	2.141	2.546	2.668	3.141	3.232	3.774	3.847				
2.2	1.915	2.146	2.566	2.701	3.226	3.328	3.961	4.043				
2.4	1.907	2.151	2.585	2.731	3.312	3.424	4.155	4.246				
2.5	1.903	2.153	2.594	2.745	3.355	3.471	4.255	4.349				
Expt. [20]	1.968	2.112										
[21]	1.965	2.113	2.700	2.806	3.259	3.345						
[22]	1.975	2.108	2.659	2,722	3.044	3.087	3.331	3.364				

**Table – 3** Pseudoscalar Decay Constants  $f_{P}$  (in GeV)

		D	·		D§						
v	18	2S	38	4S	18	28	38	4S			
	$f_P$	$f_P$	$f_P$	$f_P$	$f_P$	$f_P$	$f_P$	$f_P$			
0.5	0.219	0.104	0.071	0.055	0.242	0.116	0.080	0.062			
0.9	0.285	0.157	0.115	0.093	0.315	0.176	0.130	0.105			
1.0	0.298	0.169	0.126	0.102	0.330	0.189	0.141	0.115			
1.3	0.331	0.200	0.152	0.124	0.368	0.225	0.172	0.142			
1.5	0.349	0.217	0.166	0.135	0.407	0.245	0.190	0.157			
1.7	0.365	0.232	0.177	0.143	0.407	0.263	0.205	0.169			
1.9	0.378	0.244	0.186	0.149	0.422	0.278	0.218	0.179			
2.0	0.384	0.250	0.190	0.152	0.429	0.285	0.223	0.183			
2.2	0.394	0.259	0.196	0.155	0.443	0.298	0.232	0.189			
2.4	0.404	0.267	0.201	0.158	0.454	0.308	0.240	0.194			
2.5	0.407	0.266	0.202	0.158	0.459	0.313	0.243	0.196			
[11]	0.227 [0.5]										
[17]	0.243± 0.025										
[09]	0.230										

**Table - 4** Vector Decay Constants  $f_{W}$  (in GeV)

1 401	Table - T B wave Masses of D Meson (In Gev)							D				Ds					
	D St. t								18	2S	<b>3</b> S	4S	15	2S	38	4S	
v	1 <sup>1</sup> S <sub>0</sub>	1 <sup>3</sup> S <sub>1</sub>	$2^1S_0$	2 <sup>3</sup> S <sub>1</sub>	ites	3 <sup>3</sup> S1	4 <sup>1</sup> S <sub>0</sub>	4 <sup>3</sup> S1	v	$f_{u}$	$f_{u}$	f.,	$f_{\rm r}$	$f_{\rm r}$	f.,	$f_{\rm r}$	$f_{\mu}$
	1.01.4	1.007	2.107	2 200	0.000	0.040		2.447		JV	JV	JV	JV	JV	JV	JV	JV
0.5	1.914	1.997	2.18/	2.208	2.332	2.343	2.440	2.447	0.5	0.224	0.104	0.071	0.055	0.246	0.116	0.080	0.062
0.9	1.874	2.014	2.290	2.341	2.561	2.591	2.784	2.806	0.9	0.297	0.159	0.116	0.094	0.326	0.177	0.130	0.106
1.0	1.865	2.018	2.312	2.371	2.615	2.651	2.870	2.897	1.0	0.313	0.171	0.126	0.102	0.342	0.191	0.142	0.116
1.3	1.841	2.031	2.367	2.452	2.768	2.825	3.133	3.176	1.3	0.352	0.204	0.153	0.125	0.385	0.228	0.174	0.143
1.5	1.827	2.038	2.399	2.502	2.871	2.941	3.323	3.377	1.5	0.375	0.222	0.168	0.136	0.431	0.250	0.192	0.158
1.7	1.815	2.045	2.430	2.548	2.976	3.060	3.527	3.592	1.7	0.394	0.238	0.180	0.145	0.431	0.269	0.208	0.171
1.9	1.805	2.052	2.461	2.594	3.088	3.184	3.752	3.827	1.9	0.412	0.252	0.189	0.151	0.451	0.285	0.221	0.180
2.0	1.800	2.055	2.475	2.616	3.144	3.245	3.868	3.947	2.0	0.420	0.257	0.193	0.153	0.460	0.293	0.226	0.184
2.2	1.790	2.060	2.500	2.653	3.250	3.362	4.097	4.185	2.2	0.434	0.267	0.199	0.157	0.476	0.306	0.236	0.191
2.4	1.784	2.068	2.533	2.698	3.375	3.497	4.366	4.462	2.4	0.448	0.277	0.204	0.159	0.492	0.318	0.244	0.196
2.5									2.5	0.453	0.276	0.206	0.160	0.499	0.323	0.248	0.198
	1.780	2.069	2.547	2.713	3.426	3.552	4.484	4.585	[11]	0.249							
Evet									[11]	[0.5]							
[20]	1.869	2.010							[17]	0.315							
[21]	1.868	2.005	2.589	2.692	3.141	3.226			[00]	0.341±							
[22]	1.874	2.006	2.540	2.601	2.904	2.947	3.175	3.208	[09]	0.023							

(11)

v		D		D <sub>s</sub>					
	<i>BŖ</i> ×10 <sup>3</sup>	$BR_{\mu} \times 10^{-4}$	<i>B₽</i> ₫0°	$BR \times 10^2$	$BR_{\mu} \times 10^3$	BR 10			
0.5	0.977	1.803	0.424	6.009	2.662	0.626			
0.9	0.861	2.985	0.703	5.223	4.444	1.045			
1.0	0.789	3.252	0.766	5.347	4.845	1.140			
1.3	0.529	3.962	0.933	5.452	5.946	1.399			
1.5	0.368	4.368	1.029	5.190	7.189	1.692			
1.7	0.233	4.724	1.113	5.211	7.190	1.692			
1.9	0.136	5.047	1.189	4.988	7.717	1.816			
2.0	0.096	5.190	1.222	4.862	7.960	1.873			
2.2	0.035	5.430	1.279	4.607	8.410	1.979			
2.4	0.010	5.686	1.339	4.349	8.818	2.075			
2.5	0.002	5.776	1.361	4.223	9.007	2.120			
Expt [20]	< 2.1	4.4±0.7		6.6±0.6	6.2±0.6				
[11]		4.7[0.5]	1.1[0.5]	7.4[0.5]	5.4[0.5]	1.3[0.5]			

 Table - 5
 Leptonic Branching Fractions

### LEPTONIC BRANCHING FRACTIONS

The leptonic branching fractions for  $(1^{1}S_{0})D$  and  $D_{+}$  mesons are obtained using the formula

$$BR = \Gamma \times i$$

Table (5).

Where  $\Gamma$  is given by Quang Ho-Kim et al. [19]

$$\Gamma \left( D_{q}^{+} \to l^{+} v_{l} \right) = \frac{G_{F}^{2}}{8\pi} f_{D_{q}}^{2} \left| V_{cq} \right|^{2} m_{l}^{2} \times \left( 1 - \frac{m_{l}^{2}}{M_{Dq}^{2}} \right) M_{D_{q}}; \quad q = d, s$$
(12)

and  $\tau_D = 1.04$  ps [18] and  $\tau_{D_s} = 1.04$  ps [18]. For the calculation of the branching fractions using the eq. (12) we employ the calculated values of the pseudoscalar decay constants obtained using our model. Results are tabulated in

### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

In the present study, our predicted masses for the ground states are in good agreement with the experimental values at v = 1 which is strongly favored by the lattice simulations. No experimental results are available for the higher excited states; so it is of least value to compare the few theoretical results which are not in mutual agreement.

The pseudoscalar and vector decay constants are calculated and compared with other models. The estimated values are in reasonable accordance with other theoretical predictions around.  $\nu = 1$ 

The calculated values of leptonic branching fractions are in agreement with the experimental results which can be readily seen from Table (5).

### REFERENCES

- [1] Link J. M. et al. (FOCUS Collaboration) (2004) Measurement of Masses and Widths of Excited Charm Mesons  $D_2^*$  and Evidence for Broad States. *Phys. Lett. B*, **586**: 11-20.
- [2] Abe K. et al. (Belle Collaboration) (2004) Observation of the DsJ(2317) and DsJ(2460) in B decays. *Phys. Rev. D*, 69: 112002.
- [3] Anderson S. et al. (CLEO Collaboration) (2000) Observation of a Broad L=1  $_{cq}$  State in at CLEO. *Nucl. Phys.* A, 663:  $B^{-} \rightarrow D^{*+}\pi^{-}\pi^{-}$  647-650.
- [4] Rujula A. D., Georgi H. and Glashow S L (1976) Charm Spectroscopy via Electron-Positron Annihilation. *Phys. Rev. Lett*, 37: 785-788.
- [5] Rosner J L, (1986) P Wave Mesons with One Heavy Quark. Comments Nucl. Part. Phys., 16: 109
- [6] Iachello F., Mukhopadhyay N. C. and Zhang L (1991) Spectrum-generating algebra for stringlike mesons: Mass formula for qq mesons. *Phys. Rev. D*, 44: 898 - 914.
- [7] Quigg C and Rosner J, (1979) Quantum Mechanics with Applications to Quarkonium. *Phys. Rep.*, **56**: 167.
- [8] Buchmiller W. and Tye S .H. (1981), Quarkonia and quantum chromodynamics. Phys. Rev. D, 24: 132.
- [9] Cvetic G et al. (2004) Decay Constants of Heavy Meson of 0 - State in Relativistic Salpeter Method. *Phys. Lett.* B, 596: 84-89 (and references therein).
- [10] Wang Guo-Li (2006) Decay Constants of Heavy Vector Mesons in Relativistic Bethe-Salpeter Method. *Phys. Lett.* B, 633: 492-496 (and references therin).
- [11] Patel B. and Vinodkumar P. C. (2010) Decay properties of D and D<sub>s</sub> mesons in coulomb plus power potential (CPP<sub>v</sub>). CPC (HEP&NP), **34(9)**: 1497.
- [12] Patel B. and Vinodkumar P. C. (2010) Decay Properties of D and D<sub>s</sub> Mesons. arXiv: hep/ph0908.2212.
- [13] Rai A.K. and Vinodkumar P.C. (2007), Decay Properties of <u>Q Q</u> Mesons in Potential Models and Effective Field Theories. *AIP Conf. Proc.* 939:24.
- [14] Gupta S N and Johnson J M (1995), Quantum-Chromodynamic Potential Model for Light-Heavy Quarkonia and the Heavy Quark Effective Theory. *Phys. Rev. D*, 51:168-175.
- [15] Rai A K et al. (2002), Masses and decay constants of heavy– light flavour mesons in a variational scheme. J. Phys. G, 28: 2275.
- [16] Rai A. K. et al. (2008), Properties of Q anti-Q mesons in nonrelativistic QCD formalism. *Phys. Rev. C*, 78: 055202 (2008).
- [17] Ebert D., Galkin V. O. and Faustov R. N. (1998), Mass spectrum of orbitally and radially excited heavy-light mesons in the relativistic quark model. *Phys. Rev. D*, 57: 5663 - 5669 [Erratum-ibid. (1999) D, 59:019902]
- [18] Van Royen R. and Weisskopf V F, (1967) Hadron Decay Processes and the Quark Model. *Nuovo Cimento*, 50: 617.
- [19] Quang Ho-Kim and Pham Xuan-Yem (1998) The particles and their interaction: Concepts and Phenomena, Springer-Verlag.
- [20] Amsler C et al., (2008) Review of Particle Physics. (Particle Data Group), *Phys. Lett.* B, 667:1.
- [21] Pierro M Di. and Eichten E. (2001), Excited Heavy-Light Systems and Hadronic Transitions. *Phys. Rev. D*, 64:114004.
- [22] Lahde T A, Nyfalt C. J. and Riska D. O. (2000) Spectra and M1 Decay Widths of Heavy-Light Mesons. *Nucl. Phys. A*, 674:141-167.

### **GUIDELINES FOR CONTRIBUTORS**

The Editorial Board of 'PRAJNA' – Journal of Pure and Applied Sciences invites Original Research Papers in the fields of Basic and Applied Sciences (Biosciences, Chemistry, Computer Science, Electronics Science, Home Science, Materials Science, Mathematics, Physics and Statistics) for the Next Volume of PRAJNA (December 2011), *published by Sardar Patel University, Vallabh Vidyanagar, Gujarat – 388120, INDIA.* 

The soft copies of regular (full-length) research papers (not exceeding 15 typed pages), prepared as per the file format shown below may be submitted for publication through e-mail to Prof. T. V. Ramana Rao, Managing Editor (spu.prajna@gmail.com) OR to a Member of the Editorial Board who represents the author's broad research area with a cc to the Managing Editor latest by August 31, 2011.

Each manuscript must be accompanied by a statement that it has not been published elsewhere and that it has not been submitted simultaneously for publication elsewhere.

**Review process:** Submitted papers are peer-reviewed by two to three independent reviewers after approval by the Editorial Board. Authors are encouraged to suggest three names of expert reviewers with their e-mail IDs, but selection remains the prerogative of the Editorial Board.

### Articles of the following categories are also considered for publication in PRAJNA:

**Short Communications** are limited to a maximum of two figures and one table. They should present a complete study that is more limited in scope than is found in full-length papers. The items of manuscript preparation listed above apply to Short Communications with the following differences: (1) Abstracts are limited to 100 words; (2) instead of a separate Materials and Methods section, experimental procedures may be incorporated into Figure Legends and Table footnotes; (3) Results and Discussion should be combined into a single section.

**Review Articles** intended to provide concise in-depth reviews of both established and new areas and summarize recent insights in specific research areas within the scope of PRAJNA are solicited by the Editorial Board from leading researchers. The manuscript of this category should be limited to 5,000 words with an abstract of no more than 250 words, a maximum of 5 tables and figures (total), and up to 50 references. Word count includes only the main body of text (i.e., not tables, figures, abstracts or references).

Commentaries call attention to papers of particular note and are written at the invitation of the Editorial Board.

**Perspectives** present a viewpoint on an important area of research and are written only at the invitation of the Editorial Board. Perspectives focus on a specific field or subfield within a larger discipline and discuss current advances and future directions. Perspectives are of broad interest for non-specialists and may add personal insight to a field.

**Letters** are brief comments that contribute to the discussion of a research article published in the last issue of PRAJNA. Letters may not include requests to cite the letter writer's work, accusations of misconduct, or personal comments to an author. Letters are limited to 500 words and no more than five references. Letters must be submitted within 3 months of the publication date of the subject article.

## Also announcement of forthcoming Seminars / Conferences / Symposia / Workshops etc. will be considered for publication in PRAJNA.

### File format for soft copies:

Texts (should be of Times New Roman with 9 point for Abstract and 11 point for other matter) and Tables, if any, must be saved in \*.doc (Word) or \*.rtf (rich text) format, graphs in Excel and for illustrations (diagrams, maps, drawings, etc.), the TIF format (300 dpi minimal resolution) is the most appropriate (\*.TIF or \*.JPEG extension).

### **Instructions for preparation of manuscripts:**

- 1. The paper should be written in English and neatly typed with double spacing.
- 2. The title of the paper and the name(s) of the author(s) be in capital letters. The name of the institution be given in small letters below the name (s) of the author(s).
- 3. The 'Abstract of the paper, in not more than 150 words, should be provided on a separate page along with 4-6 keywords.
- 4. The sub-titles, e.g. INTRODUCTION, should be written in capital letters.

- 5. Displayed formulae, mathematical equations and expressions should be numbered serially. Table should be with a title in addition to a serial number for it.
- 6. Photographs / Figures should be original with good contrast so as to be in a form suitable for direct reproduction / scanning.
- 7. Footnotes are not normally allowed, except to identify the author for correspondence.
- 8. All figures must be numbered serially as they appear in the text, and their legends / captions should necessarily be provided.
- 9. References should be numbered in brackets [] in the order of appearance in the text. All the references in the bibliographic list must correspond to in-text references and vice versa. Abbreviated periodical titles should follow standard subject Abstracts. Names which are not listed by any standard subject indexing organizations should be spelled out in full.
- 10. All references should be clear and follow the examples below:

### Periodical articles

[2] Sadqui, M., Fushman, D. and Munoz, V. (2006) Atom – by – atom analysis of global downhill protein folding. *Nature*, **442**: 317 – 321.

### Books

[16] Stebbins, G. L. (1974) Flowering plants: Evolution above the species level, Arnold Press, London, pp. 1– 399.

### Chapters from a book

[19] Schafer, H. and Muyzer, G. (2001) Denaturing gradient gel electrophoresis in marine microbial ecology. In *Methods in Microbiology* (Ed. Paul, J. H.), Academic Press, London, Vol. 30, pp. 425 – 468.

### Thesis or other diplomas

[21] Nayaka, S. (2004) *The visionary studies on the lichen genus Lecanora sensu lato in India.* Ph. D. Thesis, Dr. R. M. L. Avadh University, Faizabad, India.

### Conference proceedings

[4] Mohapatra, G. C. (1981) Environment and culture of early man in the valley of rivers Chenab and Ravi, western sub-Himalayas. In *Proceedings X Congress of IUPPS*, Mexico, pp. 90 – 123.

### **Online documentation**

[9] Koning, R. E. (1994). Home Page for Ross Koning. Retrieved 26-6-2009 from *Plant Physiology Information Website*: http://plantphys.info/index.html.

### Note:

Manuscripts prepared faithfully in accordance with the instructions will accelerate their processing towards publication; otherwise it would be delayed in view of their expected re-submission.

For and on behalf of Editorial Board, PRAJNA

Prof. T. V. Ramana Rao Managing Editor, PRAJNA B R Doshi School of Biosciences, Satellite Campus, Vadtal Road, Sardar Patel University, VALLABH VIDYANAGAR Gujarat – 388120 Phone: (Lab): 02692-234412 Extn. 111 Mobile: 98254 38147 Fax: 02692-237258 /236475 e-mail: spu.prajna@gmail.com Website:www.spuvvn.edu

NOTE: This information may be kindly circulated among your colleagues.